TOPIC: PRODUCT INNOVATION, QUALITY

KEEPING NON-ALCOHOLIC BEER SAFE AND COMPLIANT

6 min read

The hidden risks in NA beer

Non-alcoholic (NA) beer is often marketed as a healthier, safer alternative to its full-strength counterpart, but new research is challenging that perception. Dr. Grzegorz Rachon of Campden BRI found that pathogens such as *E. coli* and *Salmonella* can survive, and in certain conditions, even grow, in NA beers. The study demonstrated that when pH levels creep above 4.6 or when oxygen exposure increases or CO_2 level decreases, the protective barriers typical of beer (i.e., low pH, ethanol, hop bitterness, and dissolved CO_2), no longer hold [1]. For brewers, this shifts the conversation: producing a NA beer that tastes good is only half the challenge. Ensuring it remains safe, stable, and compliant is absolutely required.

Why NA beer is more vulnerable

Beer has always been considered microbiologically safe. Ethanol levels in the 4–6% range inhibit most pathogens and hop iso-alpha acids provide an extra layer of protection. In NA beer, both defenses are weakened or absent. Additionally, most pathogenic microorganisms are facultative anaerobes, i.e., they can grow in both aerobic and anaerobic conditions.

The result is sobering. Studies show *E. coli* and *Salmonella* can survive in NA beer for up to 63 days, particularly at room temperature [2]. Even under refrigeration, microbial growth slows but doesn't stop. Higher pH levels compound the risk. At 4.6 or above, NA beer moves into the danger zone for *Clostridium botulinum*, a pathogen with potentially lethal consequences [3].

One brewer at a craft brewery recalled an early NA pilsner project: "We assumed it was as safe as regular beer. Then we saw our micro counts after two weeks at ambient storage. That was a wake-up call."

How brewers respond

To manage risk, brewers turn to a mix of techniques. Pasteurization is the most common safeguard, but NA beers often require higher pasteurization units (PUs), sometimes exceeding 100. That can stress packaging integrity and affect flavor. Some brewers choose sterile filtration instead, forcing beer through membranes fine enough to remove microorganisms. But filtration only works in environments with rigorous sanitation, and there are risks of contamination post-filtration, e.g., in packaging or kegging. Similar risks would exist if the beer is flash-pasteurized before packaging or kegging.

Indeed, packaging and distribution also play critical roles. The FDA specifically cautions against serving NA beer on draught, since dispensing systems are often not sanitized to the level required for such a vulnerable product [4]. Brewers who ignore this advice take a significant risk. The choice of packaging, cans, bottles, or kegs, must balance sterility, light protection, and oxygen ingress. Many breweries now invest in advanced can-lining technologies or use inert gas flushing during packaging runs to limit oxygen pickup and microbial risk. Cold chain logistics are also gaining traction, particularly for hop-forward NA beers, where both safety and flavor stability benefit from refrigerated storage throughout distribution.

The regulatory maze

Beyond safety, regulation shapes how NA beer reaches market.

The TTB (Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau) regulates malt beverages under 0.5% ABV [5]. These products require label approval (COLA) and sometimes formula approval. If de-alcoholization methods like vacuum distillation are used, a Distilled Spirits Plant (DSP) permit may be necessary to handle and store high-proof alcohol [6].

The FDA (Food and Drug Administration) steps in when products don't meet the TTB's malt beverage definition. FDA oversight includes facility registration, ingredient safety, and food labeling requirements. While not legally mandatory, the FDA strongly recommends HACCP-style food safety plans for NA beer producers [7].

Layered on top of federal oversight are state-level rules, which vary widely. Some states require additional licenses to sell or distribute NA beer. One brewery learned this mid-project when its reverse osmosis process triggered distilled spirits plant (DSP) permitting, delaying release by months. The brewer reflected, "The technology was the easy part. The paperwork nearly killed the project."

Quality assurance as standard practice

For breweries making NA beer, quality assurance (QA) investments are not optional; they are core to production. For instance, alcohol analyzers ensure compliance with the <0.5% ABV standard. pH meters track acidity levels batch by batch. Pasteurization verification systems confirm that microbial stability targets are met.

Dissolved oxygen meters are increasingly important, too. Even trace amounts of oxygen can shorten shelf life, especially in beers with lower bitterness. Spectrophotometers measure color and haze to ensure visual consistency. And microbiology labs, equipped with microscopes, centrifuges, growth media, and plate count kits, provide the final layer of defense.

While the cost of this equipment can be steep, brewers agree that the alternative is worse. A contamination-related recall doesn't just cost money; it damages consumer trust in a sensitive category.

Looking forward: innovation at the intersection of safety and flavor

The next wave of innovation in NA beer is as much about safety as it is about flavor. Yeast strains are now commercially available that minimize ethanol production without sacrificing ester profiles, reducing reliance on downstream de-alcoholization. Plant-derived antimicrobials are under study as natural preservatives. Advances in filtration are allowing brewers to remove microorganisms without stripping away aroma compounds.

On the sensory side, brewers are experimenting with proteins and macromolecules to improve mouthfeel. Thinness is one of the most common complaints about NA beer, and finding ways to recreate viscosity and body without alcohol is an active area of research.

These innovations reflect a broader reality: consumers don't separate safety from experience. A beer that is technically safe but tastes dull won't sell. A beer that tastes great but is unsafe can do lasting damage to a brand. The winners in this market will be those who deliver both.

Final thoughts

For consumers, every can of NA beer represents trust. Trust that it won't spoil on the shelf, trust that it meets regulatory standards, and trust that it will deliver the experience promised on the label. For brewers, earning that trust requires more than traditional brewing knowledge. It demands an understanding of microbiology, regulatory compliance, and quality assurance at a level not always required in alcoholic beer. While there have been no recorded outbreaks due to the presence of pathogens in non-alcoholic beers, the need to focus on consistently making a safe NA product is essential.

The opportunity is clear: a rapidly growing market with consumers eager for better choices. But the bar is high. Brewers who commit to safety, compliance, and technical excellence will not only succeed, but they'll also help define the future of beer itself.

References

[1] Rachon, G. (2024). *Survival of Pathogens in Non-alcoholic Beers.* *https://www.campdenbri.co.uk/research/projects.php*

[2] https://www.news-medical.net/news/20231026/Non-alcoholic-beers-may-be-a-happy-hour-for-bacteria-study-warns.aspx#:~:text=In%20a%20recent%20study%20published,non%2Dalcoholic%20beers%20allow%20it

[3] https://www.fda.gov/guide-inspections-low-acid-canned-food-2

[4] https://www.brewersassociation.org/association-news/non-alcohol-beer-on-draught-is-it-safe/

[5] https://www.ttb.gov/system/files?file=images%2Fpdfs%2Fpresentations%2Fcbc2021-low-no-alcohol.pdf

[6] https://www.ttb.gov/online-services/applications/distilled-spirits-plant-dsp-beverage

[7] https://www.fda.gov/food/hazard-analysis-critical-control-point-haccp/haccp-principles-application-guidelines